	Case 1:22-cv-00131-DAD-HBK Docume	ent 12 Filed 05/09/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID ROBERTS,	No. 1:22-cv-00131-DAD-HBK (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO PROCEED IN
15	Defendants.	FORMA PAUPERIS
16		(Doc. Nos. 2, 11)
17	Plaintiff David Roberts is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On April 13, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
21	recommending that plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 2) be denied	
22	because: (1) he is subject to the three strikes bar under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); and (2) the	
23	allegations of plaintiff's complaint do not satisfy the "imminent danger of serious physical injury"	
24	exception to § 1915(g). (Doc. No. 11.) The magistrate judge also recommended that plaintiff be	
25	ordered to pay the required \$402.00 filing fee in full in order to proceed with this action. (Id. at	
26	7.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any	
27	objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Id.) To date, no	
28	objections have been filed, and the time in which to do so has since passed.	
		1

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the undersigned has 2 conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the 3 undersigned concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and 4 proper analysis. 5 Accordingly, 6 1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 13, 2022 (Doc. No. 11) are 7 adopted; 2. 8 Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 2) is denied; 9 3. Within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order, plaintiff shall pay the 10 \$402.00 filing fee in full in order to proceed with this action; 11 4. Plaintiff is forewarned that failure to pay the filing fee within the specified time 12 will result in the dismissal of this action; and 5. 13 This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further 14 proceedings consistent with this order. 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 Dated: May 6, 2022 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 1:22-cv-00131-DAD-HBK Document 12 Filed 05/09/22 Page 2 of 2